

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/662,077	09/14/2000	Bryan R. Martin	8X8S.243PA	1441	
40581	590 10/27/2005		EXAMINER		
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC			MOORE, IAN N		
ST. PAUL, N	ILAND DRIVE, SUITE 390 IN 55120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) MARTIN ET AL.	
09/662,077		
Examiner	Art Unit	
lan N. Moore	2661	

	Ian N. Moore	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if (a) They raise new issues that would require further confused (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	, , , ,		
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	0(s)	, pfugue
- "		CHAU NGUYE	N ["]
		PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTI	EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant arguments do not overcome the rejections. Regarding argument on claims 1-22,25-28, see final office action page 25-27. Regarding argument, on IP network stack and communication stack, that "examiner has fail to address and answer the traversal", the applicant attention is directed to examiner responses on final action page 26, paragraph 3-4, where the responses clearly recited.

9WM 10124105